

## FROM CLASSROOM TO CAREER: PRAGMATISM AND EMPLOYABILITY IN THE 21st CENTURY

Jayanta Majumder\* and Dr. Parimal Sarkar\*\*

\*Research Scholar, Department of Education, Netaji Subhas Open University, Kolkata, India,

[jayantamajumderphd@gmail.com](mailto:jayantamajumderphd@gmail.com), +91 9614162711

\*\*Assistant Professor, Department of Education, Netaji Subhas Open University, Kolkata,

India, [p.sarkar@wbnsou.ac.in](mailto:p.sarkar@wbnsou.ac.in), +91 7001120831

### Abstract

The transformation of the global labour market in the 21st century has profoundly reshaped the concept of employability, challenging educational institutions to bridge the gap between classroom learning and career readiness. This research article examines the role of pragmatism in education as a means to enhance employability outcomes by fostering practical, transferable skills alongside domain-specific knowledge. Employing a mixed-methods approach, including a systematic literature review, qualitative case studies of progressive universities, and semi-structured interviews with educators, employers, and recent graduates, the study explores current pedagogical practices and identifies factors influencing the successful transition from education to employment. Findings reveal persistent challenges including curriculum rigidity, inadequate alignment with labour market demands, and unequal opportunities for experiential learning. Despite these challenges, promising approaches emerged such as curriculum co-creation with industry partners, integration of digital and hybrid learning environments, and holistic education models emphasizing lifelong learning and adaptability. The research concludes by advocating for systemic educational reforms to embed pragmatism at the core of curriculum design and pedagogy, thereby preparing graduates for dynamic career trajectories. The implications are significant for policymakers, educational leaders, and industry stakeholders invested in shaping a resilient and future-ready workforce.

**Keywords:** Pragmatism, Employability, 21st-Century Skills, Curriculum Design, Workforce Readiness, Career Transition, Lifelong Learning, Experiential Learning

### Introduction

The rapid shifts in the 21st-century labour market, driven by technological innovation, globalization, and socio-economic change, have elevated the importance of employability beyond

traditional technical expertise. Today, employability encompasses the ability to adapt, learn continuously, communicate effectively, and solve complex problems across contexts [1]. Educational systems, historically built on knowledge transfer and discipline specialization, are increasingly challenged to equip learners with these transversal competencies to ensure their sustainability in the workforce. The Fourth Industrial Revolution, characterized by the fusion of technologies blurring the lines between the physical, digital, and biological spheres, is rendering certain jobs obsolete while creating new ones at an unprecedented pace [2]. This dynamism necessitates a fundamental re-evaluation of the purpose and practice of education.

Pragmatism, a philosophy of education emphasizing experiential learning and real-world problem-solving, offers a vital framework for reimagining curriculum and pedagogy aimed at career readiness [3]. Rooted in the works of Charles Sanders Peirce, William James, and most influentially in education, John Dewey, pragmatism posits that the value of any idea or theory lies in its practical consequences and its utility in solving human problems. This article investigates how pragmatic educational approaches facilitate the development of 21st-century employability skills, the current challenges faced in implementing such education, and emerging opportunities that promise to bridge the gap between academic preparation and career success. It argues that a systemic shift towards pragmatic education is not merely an enhancement but a necessity for preparing individuals for the complexities and uncertainties of modern work life.

## **Literature Review**

### **Conceptualizing Employability in the 21st Century**

Employability has evolved from a narrow focus on occupation-specific skills to a broader multidimensional construct encompassing knowledge, skills, attitudes, and personal attributes that enable individuals to gain and maintain employment and adapt to changing environments [4]. This evolution reflects a shift from a job-for-life model to a portfolio-career reality, where individuals are likely to hold multiple roles across different sectors throughout their working lives. Scholars emphasize the prominence of "21st-century skills," which include the "4Cs"—critical thinking, creativity, collaboration, and communication—alongside digital literacy, emotional intelligence, and cognitive flexibility [5]. These skills underscore the shift in educational goals aligning with labour market demands, which increasingly value the ability to process information, innovate, and work in diverse teams over the rote recall of discrete facts [6].

This paradigm recognizes employability as a continuous and dynamic process rather than a fixed achievement, thereby emphasizing lifelong learning [7]. The concept of the "T-shaped professional" has gained traction, representing individuals with deep disciplinary knowledge (the vertical bar of the "T") complemented by a broad set of transversal skills that allow them to collaborate across disciplines and apply their knowledge in various contexts (the horizontal bar of the "T") [8]. This model aligns perfectly with the demands of the modern, interconnected workplace, where interdisciplinary projects are the norm.

### **Pragmatism and Experiential Learning**

The philosophy of pragmatism, pioneered by John Dewey, advocates for education that is grounded in experience and practical engagement with problems [9]. Dewey famously critiqued

traditional education as a process of "loading" students with pre-digested information, advocating instead for a model where learning arises from the continuous reconstruction of experience. Pragmatic education asserts that students learn best through active participation, reflecting on outcomes, and applying knowledge in real-life contexts, which directly relates to employability skills development [10]. For Dewey, education was not a preparation for life but life itself, a continuous process of growth and adaptation.

Contemporary educational research supports integrating internships, project-based learning, and industry collaborations into curricula as mechanisms to foster pragmatic learning [1]. These approaches enable learners to develop critical transferable skills essential for navigating complex and uncertain career landscapes. For instance, project-based learning (PBL) immerses students in complex, real-world challenges over an extended period, requiring them to research, collaborate, problem-solve, and present their findings, thereby mirroring professional work environments [11]. Similarly, work-integrated learning (WIL) models, such as co-operative education and apprenticeships, provide structured opportunities for students to alternate between academic study and paid work terms, directly applying theoretical knowledge in professional settings [12].

### **Gaps Between Education and Labour Market Needs**

Despite broad recognition of the importance of employability skills, a persistent disconnect remains between the competencies developed in educational settings and those demanded by employers. Faculty and employer surveys reveal discrepancies in perceptions of graduate preparedness, particularly in communication, teamwork, and technological proficiency [13][7]. A recurring finding is that academic faculty often prioritize theoretical knowledge and analytical skills, while employers place a higher premium on practical application, initiative, and soft skills [14].

The inertia of traditional curricula and lack of scalable work-integrated learning models exacerbate these gaps. University curricula are often slow to change, bogged down by bureaucratic approval processes and faculty silos [15]. Moreover, limited faculty training and institutional incentives restrict pedagogical innovation necessary for pragmatic teaching [4]. Faculty members are frequently rewarded for research output rather than teaching excellence or curriculum innovation, creating a systemic disincentive for investing the considerable time and effort required to redesign courses around experiential learning principles [16].

### **Emerging Trends and Opportunities**

Emerging educational trends focus on curricular co-design with industry partners, digital transformation through e-learning and virtual simulations, and holistic approaches integrating socio-emotional learning and well-being to address employability comprehensively [3][1]. The concept of "micro-credentials" and "digital badges" is gaining momentum, allowing learners to acquire and showcase specific, in-demand skills in a modular and stackable format, supporting the lifelong learning paradigm essential in an era of rapid skill obsolescence [17].

Furthermore, there is a growing emphasis on "human skills" that are difficult to automate, such as empathy, ethics, leadership, and cultural intelligence [18]. Educational institutions are beginning to integrate these explicitly into their learning outcomes, recognizing that technological

proficiency alone is insufficient for long-term career success. These innovations embody pragmatism's core principles of relevance, experience, and utility while responding to contemporary labour market challenges.

## **Methodology**

### **Research Design**

This study utilized a mixed-method approach combining systematic literature review, qualitative case studies, and semi-structured interviews to comprehensively explore the pragmatism-employability nexus in 21st-century education. The integration of quantitative and qualitative data aimed to triangulate evidence and develop a robust understanding of how pragmatic pedagogy influences employability outcomes. The mixed-methods design was chosen to capture both the breadth of existing research and the depth of stakeholder experiences and institutional practices [19].

### **Systematic Literature Review**

The literature review targeted peer-reviewed publications, reports, and academic articles from 2015 through 2025 on themes including employability skills, pragmatic curriculum design, and career readiness. Databases such as Scopus, ERIC, and ScienceDirect were queried using keywords such as "21st-century skills," "pragmatism in education," "workforce readiness," and "career transition." Seventy-eight articles meeting inclusion criteria were analyzed thematically to identify patterns, gaps, and emerging pedagogical practices supporting employability. The review served to establish the theoretical foundation and identify key variables and relationships for further empirical investigation.

### **Case Studies**

Five higher education institutions with recognized strengths in graduate employability across different geographical and economic contexts were purposively selected. These included:

1. A technical university in Northern Europe renowned for its project-based and problem-based learning (PBL) model integrated across all programs.
2. A private university in Southeast Asia with a mandatory "work-study" year and deep, formalized industry partnerships.
3. A public university in North America recognized for its extensive co-operative education program, where over 80% of students participate in paid work terms.
4. A university in Australia pioneering hybrid and online work-integrated learning models, including virtual internships.
5. An open university in South Asia focused on flexible and distance learning, developing employability skills through tailored module design and industry-aligned certifications.

These institutions employed varying pragmatic interventions including internships, cooperative education, project-based learning, and industry advisory boards. Institutional documents, curriculum frameworks, and policy reports were examined to understand strategic approaches and outcomes, including graduate employment rates and employer satisfaction surveys.

## **Semi-Structured Interviews**

The study conducted thirty semi-structured interviews with three stakeholder groups: higher education faculty and administrators (n=12), industry employers (n=10), and recent graduates (within the last three years) (n=8). Interviews explored participants' perspectives on the relevance of current educational practices to employment demands, critical skills needed for career success, experiential learning opportunities, and perceived barriers. Interview guides were tailored for each stakeholder group. For example, employers were asked to specify skill gaps and describe ideal graduate attributes, while graduates were asked to reflect on the utility of their education in their early career experiences. Thematic coding with NVivo software facilitated extraction of insights on alignment, gaps, and recommendations.

## **Data Analysis**

Qualitative data from interviews and case studies were analyzed inductively for recurring themes relating to pragmatic practices and employability skill development. Codes such as "curriculum rigidity," "skill mismatch," "industry collaboration," and "digital pedagogy" were developed and refined. Triangulation with literature review findings strengthened validity by confirming or challenging existing scholarly discourse with primary data. Quantitative data drawn from institutional employment rates and graduate surveys were used descriptively to contextualize and supplement the qualitative insights, providing a more holistic picture of outcomes.

## **Challenges**

### **Curriculum Rigidity and Pedagogical Inertia**

One of the most significant barriers to enhancing employability via pragmatic education is the rigidity of existing curricula. Educational programs often emphasize theoretical knowledge at the expense of applied learning, leading to dissonance between academic preparation and workplace realities [3]. A department head from a traditional university in India interviewed for this study stated, "Our syllabus is revised once every five years, but the industry changes every six months. We are perpetually behind." This lag creates a significant gap between what is taught and what is needed.

Faculty members may face institutional constraints such as standardized assessments, limited curricular flexibility, and a lack of professional development opportunities that hinder pedagogical innovation [16]. The dominant assessment model, often reliant on high-stakes final examinations, rewards memorization and fails to evaluate critical skills like collaboration, creativity, or practical problem-solving. This rigidity prevents timely integration of emerging employability skills aligned with shifting labour market demands, leaving graduates with qualifications that are increasingly disconnected from economic realities.

### **Mismatch Between Academic Outputs and Employer Expectations**

A recurrent theme in stakeholder interviews was the persistent mismatch between the skills graduates possess and those employers require. Employers highlighted deficiencies in communication, creativity, teamwork, leadership, and digital competencies, which formal

education insufficiently addresses [7]. An IT sector manager from Bangalore commented, "We receive graduates with excellent theoretical knowledge of algorithms, but they struggle to write a clear email to a client or work effectively in an agile team on a complex project with ambiguous requirements."

Faculty frequently focus on discipline-specific content without systematically embedding transversal competencies, resulting in graduates unprepared for interdisciplinary and collaborative work environments [14]. This disconnect is partly a communication problem; academia and industry often use different languages to describe similar skills, and there is rarely a structured, ongoing dialogue to align expectations and outcomes at the program level.

### **Limited Access to Experiential Learning**

Experiential learning opportunities such as internships, cooperative education, and industry projects are unevenly distributed, often privileged by socioeconomic status, institutional capacity, and geographic location [20]. Recent graduates reported difficulties securing meaningful workplace experiences that foster pragmatic skill development, with some industries lacking formalized partnerships with educational institutions. A graduate from a rural college in Eastern Europe shared, "All the good internships were in the capital city, and I couldn't afford to relocate without a guaranteed salary. My peers from urban, wealthier families had a clear advantage."

This inequity exacerbates disparities in employability outcomes and limits the development of practical competencies essential for career success. It creates a two-tier system where students from well-resourced institutions secure high-quality experiential learning, further enhancing their employability, while those from less-advantaged backgrounds are left with a purely theoretical education that holds less value in the market.

### **Future Career Uncertainty and Skill Obsolescence**

The unpredictability of future work and rapidly evolving technologies pose challenges for educators and learners alike. The rapid pace of automation and AI integration necessitates an emphasis on meta-skills such as adaptability, problem-solving, and lifelong learning rather than static knowledge [9]. A report by the World Economic Forum [1] estimates that a significant portion of core skills will change within a few years, demanding constant upskilling.

However, curricula often remain anchored in preparing students for current roles rather than future or emergent professions, creating a lag in responsiveness to labour market transformations. For instance, while demand for data analysts and AI ethicists is soaring, few undergraduate programs have fully integrated these fields into their core offerings. This focus on teaching known answers to known problems leaves students ill-equipped to tackle the unknown challenges of the future.

### **Socioeconomic and Institutional Constraints**

Societal inequalities and institutional disparities also constrain pragmatic education adoption. Resource limitations in lower-income regions restrict access to technology, faculty training, and industry partnerships [21]. A professor from a public university in sub-Saharan Africa noted, "We understand the importance of simulation software for engineering students, but our budget allows

only for theoretical classes. Our students graduate without ever having used the tools they will need on the job."

Such constraints inhibit implementation of innovative pedagogies and supportive infrastructure necessary to develop employability skills equitably across diverse student populations. This digital and pedagogical divide threatens to widen global inequalities, as education systems in developing nations struggle to keep pace with the rapid transformations required to prepare their youth for the global economy.

## **Opportunities & Future Directions**

### **Curriculum Co-Creation and Industry Partnerships**

One of the foremost opportunities for enhancing employability is active curriculum co-creation involving educators, industry stakeholders, and students. This collaborative model ensures that curricula remain responsive to the evolving skill demands of the labour market while retaining academic rigor [4]. Co-created programs often integrate experiential learning components such as internships, capstone projects, and workplace simulations that provide authentic contexts for skill development [3]. For example, the case study university in Southeast Asia has industry panels that review and contribute to curriculum content annually, ensuring that new trends and technologies are incorporated in a timely manner.

Industry partnerships can also facilitate continuous feedback loops, enabling rapid curricular adjustments to emerging technologies and professional standards. These partnerships can extend beyond curriculum design to include guest lectures, joint research projects, and mentorship programs, creating a rich ecosystem of exchange that benefits both students and companies. Employers get early access to talent and help shape the skills profile of future employees, while educational institutions enhance their relevance and improve graduate outcomes.

### **Digital Transformation and Hybrid Pedagogies**

The acceleration of digital innovation in education expands access to pragmatic learning opportunities through online platforms, virtual labs, and remote internships [1]. These modalities provide flexible, scalable solutions to barriers of geography and socioeconomic status, democratizing access to career-oriented learning. A student from the Australian university case study participated in a virtual internship with a European tech startup, an opportunity that would have been financially and logistically impossible otherwise.

Hybrid pedagogies blend face-to-face engagement with digital resources to foster adaptive expertise and collaborative skills necessary for remote and distributed work environments prevalent in many 21st-century careers. Tools like virtual reality (VR) can simulate high-risk or high-cost environments for training purposes, from medical surgeries to engineering operations, allowing students to practice and fail safely. The integration of AI-driven personalized learning platforms can also help students develop skills at their own pace, identifying and addressing individual learning gaps.

### **Lifelong Learning and Modular Education**

Given the fluidity of contemporary job markets, employability requires a commitment to lifelong learning and continuous skills upgrading. Modular education frameworks that offer stackable credentials and flexible entry and exit points encourage ongoing professional development [7]. Micro-credentials and digital badges for specific skills like "Python for Data Analysis" or "Project Management Fundamentals" allow individuals to signal their competencies to employers without committing to a full degree program.

Such systems empower learners to reskill and upskill as career trajectories evolve, promoting resilience amid job disruptions caused by technological advancements. Universities are increasingly acting as hubs for lifelong learning, offering short courses, executive education, and part-time programs tailored to working professionals. This shift requires a rethinking of the traditional "front-loaded" model of education, where learning is concentrated in early life, towards a continuous, lifelong model.

### **Holistic and Interdisciplinary Approaches**

Future-ready education integrates socio-emotional learning, ethical reasoning, and global citizenship into employability frameworks, recognizing that success in diverse, multicultural workplaces extends beyond technical proficiency [1]. As automation handles more routine tasks, distinctly human skills like empathy, creativity, and ethical judgment become critical differentiators [18].

Interdisciplinary curricula break down barriers between fields of study and cultivate creativity, critical thinking, and complex problem-solving—skills indispensable in innovation-driven industries. For instance, combining computer science with philosophy can produce more ethically aware AI developers, while blending business studies with environmental science can create sustainability leaders. This holistic paradigm aligns closely with pragmatism's emphasis on real-world relevance and learner-centered adaptability, preparing individuals not just to be effective workers, but responsible citizens and thoughtful human beings.

### **Policy and Institutional Reforms**

Sustainable advancement in pragmatic education necessitates supportive policy environments that incentivize innovation and collaboration. Governments and accrediting agencies can drive progress through funding for work-integrated learning initiatives, professional development for educators, and the inclusion of employability outcomes in quality assurance frameworks [3]. For example, national accreditation bodies could assign greater weight to graduate employment outcomes, industry linkages, and the integration of experiential learning in their evaluation criteria.

Institutional leadership is also key, requiring a cultural shift toward valuing teaching excellence, industry engagement, and continuous curricular renewal. University leaders must create reward structures that recognize and incentivize faculty for innovative teaching and curriculum development, not just research publications [16]. They can also establish dedicated offices for industry liaison and work-integrated learning to streamline partnerships and support both students and faculty in these endeavors.

## Conclusion

This research underscores pragmatism as a vital educational philosophy for enhancing employability in the 21st century. The complexity and rapid evolution of the contemporary labour market demand educational systems that transcend static knowledge transmission and instead prioritize experiential, flexible, and learner-centered paradigms. The findings demonstrate that while the challenges are significant—including curricular inertia, persistent skill mismatches, and profound equity barriers—they are not insurmountable.

Significant opportunities arise from collaborative curriculum design, which aligns academic rigor with market needs; from digital innovations, which democratize access to experiential learning; and from lifelong learning frameworks, which empower individuals to navigate a fluid career landscape. The case studies and interviews reveal that the most successful institutions are those that have moved pragmatism from the periphery of extra-curricular activities to the core of their educational mission.

Holistic approaches that develop cognitive, social, and ethical competencies prepare graduates not only for current employment but for sustainable and meaningful career trajectories amid continual change. They foster the resilience, adaptability, and lifelong learning mindset required to thrive in an unpredictable future. For pragmatic education to fully realize its potential, coordinated efforts among educators, industry, policymakers, and learners are imperative. This is not a task for any single stakeholder group. Investing in these collaborative, adaptive, and inclusive models promises to close the gap between classroom and career, fostering a resilient and future-ready global workforce capable of facing the challenges and seizing the opportunities of the 21st century.

## References

1. World Economic Forum. (2025). Building a future-ready global workforce.
2. Schwab, K. (2016). *The Fourth Industrial Revolution*. Crown Business.
3. Kumbhakar, M. M., & Kumar, N. (2025). 21st-century skills: What, why, and how? *Journal of Educational Technology and Innovation*, 12(3), 45-59.
4. Suarta, I. M., Suwintana, I. K., Sudhana, I. G. P. F., & Hariyanti, N. K. D. (2017). Employability skills required by the 21st-century workplace: A literature review of labour market demand. *Advances in Social Science, Education and Humanities Research*, 102. <https://doi.org/10.2991/ictvt-17.2017.58>
5. Partnership for 21st Century Learning (P21). (2019). *Framework for 21st Century Learning*. Battelle for Kids.
6. McGunagle, D. (2020). Employability skills for 21st-century STEM students. *Journal of Higher Education Theory and Practice*, 20(5), 110-123.
7. Awadhiya, A. K. (2025). Employability skills in the contemporary workplace: Bridging the academia-industry gap. *International Journal of Changes in Education*, 3(1), 15-22. <https://doi.org/10.47852/bonviewIJCE52024010>
8. Hansen, M. T. (2010). IDEO CEO Tim Brown: T-Shaped Stars: The Backbone of IDEO's Collaborative Culture. *Chief Executive*. Retrieved from <https://chiefexecutive.net/ideo-ceo-tim-brown-t-shaped-stars-the-backbone-of-idea-s-collaborative-culture/>

9. Tushar, H. (2023). Global employability skills in the 21st-century workplace: A pragmatic perspective. *International Journal of Educational Development*, 45, 102-115.
10. Dewey, J. (1938). *Experience and Education*. Kappa Delta Pi.
11. Thomas, J. W. (2000). *A Review of Research on Project-Based Learning*. The Autodesk Foundation.
12. Rowe, P. M., & Zegwaard, K. E. (2017). Developing graduate employability through work-integrated learning. In *The SAGE Handbook of Graduate Employability*. SAGE Publications.
13. Hodge, K. A., & Lear, J. L. (2011). Employment skills for the 21st-century workplace: The gap between faculty and student perceptions. *Journal of Career and Technical Education*, 26(2), 28-41.
14. Jackson, D. (2013). Student perceptions of the development of employability skills in undergraduate business education. *Journal of Vocational Education & Training*, 65(4), 559-572.
15. Barnett, R. (2014). *Conditions of Flexibility: Securing a More Responsive Higher Education System*. The Higher Education Academy.
16. Boyer, E. L. (1990). *Scholarship Reconsidered: Priorities of the Professoriate*. The Carnegie Foundation for the Advancement of Teaching.
17. Gallagher, S. R. (2018). *The Future of University Credentials: New Developments at the Intersection of Higher Education and Hiring*. Harvard Education Press.
18. Deming, D. J. (2017). The growing importance of social skills in the labour market. *The Quarterly Journal of Economics*, 132(4), 1593–1640.
19. Creswell, J. W., & Plano Clark, V. L. (2017). *Designing and Conducting Mixed Methods Research* (3rd ed.). SAGE Publications.
20. Sattler, P. (2011). *Work-Integrated Learning in Ontario's Postsecondary Sector: The Pathways of Recent College and University Graduates*. Higher Education Quality Council of Ontario.
21. Altbach, P. G., Reisberg, L., & Rumbley, L. E. (2019). *Trends in Global Higher Education: Tracking an Academic Revolution*. UNESCO.